

## Properties of Q-Intuitionistic L-Fuzzy Subsemigroups of A Semigroup

R.Indira<sup>1</sup>, N.Palaniappan<sup>2</sup>, K.Arjunan<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, Thiru Kolangiappar Government Arts College, Virudhachalam, Tamilnadu India.

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, Alagappa University, Karaikudi, Tamilnadu, India.

<sup>3</sup>Department of Mathematics, H.H.The Rajahs College, Pudukkottai – 622001 Tamilnadu, India.

**Abstract:** In this paper, we study the properties of Q-intuitionistic L-fuzzy subsemigroup of a semigroup and prove some results on these.

2000 AMS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION: 03F55, 08A72, 20N25.

**Keywords:** (Q, L)-fuzzy subset, Q-intuitionistic L-fuzzy subset, Q-intuitionistic L-fuzzy subsemigroup.

### I. INTRODUCTION

After the introduction of fuzzy sets by L.A.Zadeh[15], several researchers explored on the generalization of the notion of fuzzy set. The concept of intuitionistic L-fuzzy subset was introduced by K.T.Atanassov[4,5], as a generalization of the notion of fuzzy set. Azriel Rosenfeld[6] defined a fuzzy groups. Asok Kumer Ray[3] defined a product of fuzzy subgroups and A.Solairaju and R.Nagarajan[13,14] have introduced and defined a new algebraic structure called Q-fuzzy subgroups. We introduce the concept of Q-intuitionistic L-fuzzy subsemigroup of a semigroup and established some results.

#### 1.PRELIMINARIES:

**1.1 Definition:** Let X be a non-empty set and  $L = (L, \leq)$  be a lattice with least element 0 and greatest element 1 and Q be a non-empty set. A **(Q, L)-fuzzy subset** A of X is a function  $A : X \times Q \rightarrow L$ .

**1.2 Definition:** Let  $(L, \leq)$  be a complete lattice with an involutive order reversing operation  $N : L \rightarrow L$  and Q be a non-empty set. A **Q-intuitionistic L-fuzzy subset (QILFS)** A in X is defined as an object of the form  $A = \{ \langle x, q \rangle, \mu_A(x, q), \nu_A(x, q) \mid x \in X \text{ and } q \in Q \}$ , where  $\mu_A : X \times Q \rightarrow L$  and  $\nu_A : X \times Q \rightarrow L$  define the degree of membership and the degree of non-membership of the element  $x \in X$  respectively and for every  $x \in X$  satisfying  $\mu_A(x) \leq N(\nu_A(x))$ .

**1.3 Definition:** Let  $(R, \cdot)$  be a semigroup. A Q-intuitionistic L-fuzzy subset A of R is said to be a Q-intuitionistic L-fuzzy subsemigroup(QILFSSG) of R if it satisfies the following axioms:

- (i)  $\mu_A(xy, q) \geq \mu_A(x, q) \wedge \mu_A(y, q)$
- (ii)  $\nu_A(xy, q) \leq \nu_A(x, q) \vee \nu_A(y, q)$ , for all x and y in R and q in Q.

**1.4 Definition:** Let X and X' be any two sets. Let  $f : X \rightarrow X'$  be any function and A be a Q-intuitionistic L-fuzzy subset in X, V be a Q-intuitionistic L-fuzzy subset in  $f(X) = X'$ , defined by  $\mu_V(y, q) = \sup_{x \in f^{-1}(y)} \mu_A(x, q)$

and  $\nu_V(y, q) = \inf_{x \in f^{-1}(y)} \nu_A(x, q)$ , for all x in X and y in X'. A is called a preimage of V under f and is denoted by  $f^{-1}(V)$ .

**1.5 Definition:** Let A be a Q-intuitionistic L-fuzzy subsemigroup of a semigroup  $(R, \cdot)$  and a in R. Then the **pseudo Q-intuitionistic L-fuzzy coset**  $(aA)^p$  is defined by  $((a\mu_A)^p)(x, q) = p(a) \mu_A(x, q)$  and  $((a\nu_A)^p)(x, q) = p(a)\nu_A(x, q)$ , for every x in R and for some p in P and q in Q.

### II. SOME PROPERTIES OF Q-INTUITIONISTIC L-FUZZY SUBSEMIGROUPS OF A SEMIGROUP

**2.1 Theorem:** If A is a Q-intuitionistic L-fuzzy subsemigroup of a semigroup  $(R, \cdot)$ , then  $H = \{ x \mid x \in R : \mu_A(x, q) = 1, \nu_A(x, q) = 0 \}$  is either empty or is a subsemigroup of R.

**Proof:** If no element satisfies this condition, then H is empty. If x and y in H, then  $\mu_A(xy, q) \geq \mu_A(x, q) \wedge \mu_A(y, q) = 1 \wedge 1 = 1$ . Therefore,  $\mu_A(xy, q) = 1$ . And  $\nu_A(xy, q) \leq \nu_A(x, q) \vee \nu_A(y, q) = 0 \vee 0 = 0$ . Therefore,  $\nu_A(xy, q) = 0$ . We get xy in H. Therefore, H is a subsemigroup of R. Hence H is either empty or is a subsemigroup of R.

**2.2 Theorem:** Let A be a Q-intuitionistic L-fuzzy subsemigroup of a semigroup  $(R, \cdot)$ . (i) If  $\mu_A(xy, q)=0$ , then either  $\mu_A(x, q)=0$  or  $\mu_A(y, q) = 0$ , for all x and y in R and q in Q.

(ii) If  $\nu_A(xy, q)=1$ , then either  $\nu_A(x, q)=1$  or  $\nu_A(y, q) = 1$ , for all x and y in R and q in Q.

**Proof:** Let x and y in R and q in Q. (i) By the definition  $\mu_A(xy, q) \geq \mu_A(x, q) \wedge \mu_A(y, q)$  which implies that  $0 \geq \mu_A(x, q) \wedge \mu_A(y, q)$ . Therefore, either  $\mu_A(x, q) = 0$  or  $\mu_A(y, q) = 0$ .

(ii) By the definition  $\nu_A(xy, q) \leq \nu_A(x, q) \vee \nu_A(y, q)$  which implies that  $1 \leq \nu_A(x, q) \vee \nu_A(y, q)$ . Therefore, either  $\nu_A(x, q) = 1$  or  $\nu_A(y, q) = 1$ .

**2.3 Theorem:** If A is a Q-intuitionistic L-fuzzy subsemigroup of a semigroup  $(R, \cdot)$ , then  $\square A$  is a Q-intuitionistic L-fuzzy subsemigroup of R.

**Proof:** Let A be a Q-intuitionistic L-fuzzy subsemigroup of a semigroup R. Consider  $A = \{ \langle (x, q), \mu_A(x, q), \nu_A(x, q) \rangle \}$ , for all x in R and q in Q, we take  $\square A = B = \{ \langle (x, q), \mu_B(x, q), \nu_B(x, q) \rangle \}$ , where  $\mu_B(x, q) = \mu_A(x, q)$ ,  $\nu_B(x, q) = 1 - \mu_A(x, q)$ . Clearly,  $\mu_B(xy, q) \geq \mu_B(x, q) \wedge \mu_B(y, q)$ , for all x and y in R and q in Q. Since A is a Q-intuitionistic L-fuzzy subsemigroup of R, we have  $\mu_A(xy, q) \geq \mu_A(x, q) \wedge \mu_A(y, q)$ , for all x and y in R and q in Q, which implies that  $1 - \nu_B(xy, q) \geq (1 - \nu_B(x, q)) \wedge (1 - \nu_B(y, q))$  which implies that  $\nu_B(xy, q) \leq 1 - \{ (1 - \nu_B(x, q)) \wedge (1 - \nu_B(y, q)) \} = \nu_B(x, q) \vee \nu_B(y, q)$ . Therefore,  $\nu_B(xy, q) \leq \nu_B(x, q) \vee \nu_B(y, q)$ , for all x and y in R and q in Q. Hence B =  $\square A$  is a Q-intuitionistic L-fuzzy subsemigroup of a semigroup R.

**Remark:** The converse of the above theorem is not true. It is shown by the following example:

Consider the semigroup  $Z_5 = \{ 0, 1, 2, 3, 4 \}$  with addition modulo 5 operation and  $Q = \{ q \}$ . Then  $A = \{ \langle (0, q), 0.7, 0.2 \rangle, \langle (1, q), 0.5, 0.1 \rangle, \langle (2, q), 0.5, 0.4 \rangle, \langle (3, q), 0.5, 0.1 \rangle, \langle (4, q), 0.5, 0.1 \rangle \}$  is not a Q-intuitionistic L-fuzzy subsemigroup of  $Z_5$ , but  $\square A = \{ \langle (0, q), 0.7, 0.3 \rangle, \langle (1, q), 0.5, 0.5 \rangle, \langle (2, q), 0.5, 0.5 \rangle, \langle (3, q), 0.5, 0.5 \rangle, \langle (4, q), 0.5, 0.5 \rangle \}$  is a Q-intuitionistic L-fuzzy subsemigroup of  $Z_5$ .

**2.4 Theorem:** If A is a Q-intuitionistic L-fuzzy subsemigroup of a semigroup  $(R, \cdot)$ , then  $\diamond A$  is a Q-intuitionistic L-fuzzy subsemigroup of R.

**Proof:** Let A be a Q-intuitionistic L-fuzzy subsemigroup of a semigroup R. That is  $A = \{ \langle (x, q), \mu_A(x, q), \nu_A(x, q) \rangle \}$ , for all x in R and q in Q. Let  $\diamond A = B = \{ \langle (x, q), \mu_B(x, q), \nu_B(x, q) \rangle \}$ , where  $\mu_B(x, q) = 1 - \nu_A(x, q)$ ,  $\nu_B(x, q) = \nu_A(x, q)$ . Clearly,  $\nu_B(xy, q) \leq \nu_B(x, q) \vee \nu_B(y, q)$ , for all x and y in R and q in Q. Since A is a Q-intuitionistic L-fuzzy subsemigroup of R, we have  $\nu_A(xy, q) \leq \nu_A(x, q) \vee \nu_A(y, q)$ , for all x and y in R and q in Q, which implies that  $1 - \mu_B(xy, q) \leq \{ (1 - \mu_B(x, q)) \vee (1 - \mu_B(y, q)) \}$ , which implies that  $\mu_B(xy, q) \geq 1 - \{ (1 - \mu_B(x, q)) \vee (1 - \mu_B(y, q)) \} = \mu_B(x, q) \wedge \mu_B(y, q)$ . Therefore,  $\mu_B(xy, q) \geq \mu_B(x, q) \wedge \mu_B(y, q)$ , for all x and y in R and q in Q. Hence B =  $\diamond A$  is a Q-intuitionistic L-fuzzy subsemigroup of a semigroup R.

**Remark:** The converse of the above theorem is not true. It is shown by the following example:

Consider the semigroup  $Z_5 = \{ 0, 1, 2, 3, 4 \}$  with addition modulo 5 operation and  $Q = \{ q \}$ . Then  $A = \{ \langle (0, q), 0.5, 0.1 \rangle, \langle (1, q), 0.6, 0.4 \rangle, \langle (2, q), 0.5, 0.4 \rangle, \langle (3, q), 0.6, 0.4 \rangle, \langle (4, q), 0.6, 0.4 \rangle \}$  is not a Q-intuitionistic L-fuzzy subsemigroup of  $Z_5$ , but  $\diamond A = \{ \langle (0, q), 0.9, 0.1 \rangle, \langle (1, q), 0.6, 0.4 \rangle, \langle (2, q), 0.6, 0.4 \rangle, \langle (3, q), 0.6, 0.4 \rangle, \langle (4, q), 0.6, 0.4 \rangle \}$  is a Q-intuitionistic L-fuzzy subsemigroup of  $Z_5$ .

**2.5 Theorem:** Let A be a Q-intuitionistic L-fuzzy subsemigroup of a semigroup H and f is an isomorphism from a semigroup R onto H. Then  $A \circ f$  is a Q-intuitionistic L-fuzzy subsemigroup of R.

**Proof:** Let x and y in R and A be a Q-intuitionistic L-fuzzy subsemigroup of the semigroup H and Q be a non-empty set. Then we have,  $(\mu_{A \circ f})(xy, q) = \mu_A(f(xy), q) = \mu_A(f(x)f(y), q) \geq \mu_A(f(x), q) \wedge \mu_A(f(y), q) \geq (\mu_A \circ f)(x, q) \wedge (\mu_A \circ f)(y, q)$ , which implies that  $(\mu_{A \circ f})(xy, q) \geq (\mu_A \circ f)(x, q) \wedge (\mu_A \circ f)(y, q)$ , for all x and y in R and q in Q. Then we have,  $(\nu_{A \circ f})(xy, q) = \nu_A(f(xy), q) = \nu_A(f(x)f(y), q) \leq \nu_A(f(x), q) \vee \nu_A(f(y), q) \leq (\nu_A \circ f)(x, q) \vee (\nu_A \circ f)(y, q)$ , which implies that  $(\nu_{A \circ f})(xy, q) \leq (\nu_A \circ f)(x, q) \vee (\nu_A \circ f)(y, q)$ , for all x and y in R and q in Q. Therefore  $(A \circ f)$  is a Q-intuitionistic L-fuzzy subsemigroup of a semigroup R.

**2.6 Theorem:** Let A be a Q-intuitionistic L-fuzzy subsemigroup of a semigroup H and f is an anti-isomorphism from a semigroup R onto H. Then  $A \circ f$  is a Q-intuitionistic L-fuzzy subsemigroup of R.

**Proof:** Let x and y in R and A be a Q-intuitionistic L-fuzzy subsemigroup of the semigroup H and Q be a non-empty set. Then we have,  $(\mu_{A \circ f})(xy, q) = \mu_A(f(xy), q) = \mu_A(f(y)f(x), q) \geq \mu_A(f(x), q) \wedge \mu_A(f(y), q) \geq (\mu_A \circ f)(x, q) \wedge (\mu_A \circ f)(y, q)$ , which implies that  $(\mu_{A \circ f})(xy, q) \geq (\mu_A \circ f)(x, q) \wedge (\mu_A \circ f)(y, q)$ , for all x and y in R and q in Q. Then we have,  $(\nu_{A \circ f})(xy, q) = \nu_A(f(xy), q) = \nu_A(f(y)f(x), q) \leq \nu_A(f(x), q) \vee \nu_A(f(y), q) \leq (\nu_A \circ f)(x, q) \vee (\nu_A \circ f)(y, q)$ , which implies that  $(\nu_{A \circ f})(xy, q) \leq (\nu_A \circ f)(x, q) \vee (\nu_A \circ f)(y, q)$ , for all x and y in R and q in Q. Therefore  $(A \circ f)$  is a Q-intuitionistic L-fuzzy subsemigroup of a semigroup R.

**2.7 Theorem:** Let  $A$  be a Q-intuitionistic L-fuzzy subsemigroup of a semigroup  $R$ , then the pseudo Q-intuitionistic L-fuzzy coset  $(aA)^p$  is a Q-intuitionistic L-fuzzy subsemigroup of the semigroup  $R$ , for every  $a$  in  $R$ .

**Proof:** Let  $A$  be a Q-intuitionistic L-fuzzy subsemigroup of the semigroup  $R$ . For every  $x$  and  $y$  in  $R$  and  $q$  in  $Q$ , we have,  $((a\mu_A)^p)(xy, q) = p(a) \mu_A(xy, q) \geq p(a) \{ \mu_A(x, q) \wedge \mu_A(y, q) \} = p(a) \mu_A(x, q) \wedge p(a) \mu_A(y, q) = ((a\mu_A)^p)(x, q) \wedge ((a\mu_A)^p)(y, q)$ . Therefore,  $((a\mu_A)^p)(xy, q) \geq ((a\mu_A)^p)(x, q) \wedge ((a\mu_A)^p)(y, q)$ , for  $x$  and  $y$  in  $R$  and  $q$  in  $Q$ . And,  $((av_A)^p)(xy, q) = p(a) \nu_A(xy, q) \leq p(a) \{ \nu_A(x, q) \vee \nu_A(y, q) \} = p(a) \nu_A(x, q) \vee p(a) \nu_A(y, q) = ((av_A)^p)(x, q) \vee ((av_A)^p)(y, q)$ . Therefore,  $((av_A)^p)(xy, q) \leq ((av_A)^p)(x, q) \vee ((av_A)^p)(y, q)$ , for  $x$  and  $y$  in  $R$  and  $q$  in  $Q$ . Hence  $(aA)^p$  is a Q-intuitionistic L-fuzzy subsemigroup of the semigroup  $R$ .

### REFERENCE

- [1] Ajmal.N and Thomas.K.V., Fuzzy lattices, Information sciences 79 (1994), 271-291.
- [2] Akram.M and Dar.K.H, On fuzzy d-algebras, Punjab university journal of mathematics, 37(2005), 61-76.
- [3] Asok Kumer Ray, On product of fuzzy subgroups, fuzzy sets and systems, 105, 181-183 (1999).
- [4] Atanassov.K., Intuitionistic fuzzy sets, fuzzy sets and systems, 20(1), 87-96 (1986).
- [5] Atanassov.K., Stoeva.S., Intuitionistic L-fuzzy sets, Cybernetics and systems research 2 (Elsevier Sci. Publ., Amsterdam, 1984), 539-540.
- [6] Azriel Rosenfeld, Fuzzy Groups, Journal of mathematical analysis and applications 35, 512-517 (1971).
- [7] Chakrabarty, K., Biswas, R., Nanda, A note on union and intersection of intuitionistic fuzzy sets , Notes on intuitionistic fuzzy sets , 3(4), (1997).
- [8] Davvaz.B and Wieslaw.A.Dudek, Fuzzy n-ary groups as a generalization of rosenfeld fuzzy groups, ARXIV-0710.3884VI(MATH.RA)20 OCT 2007,1-16.
- [9] Goran Trajkovski, An approach towards defining L-fuzzy lattices, IEEE, 7(1998), 221-225.
- [10] Mohammad M. Atallah, On the L-fuzzy prime ideal theorem of distributive lattices, The journal of fuzzy mathematics, Vol.9, No.4, 2001.
- [11] Palaniappan.N and Arjunan.K, The homomorphism, anti-homomorphism of a fuzzy and anti fuzzy ideals, Varahmihir journal of mathematical sciences, Vol.6 No.1 (2006), 181-188.
- [12] Rajesh Kumar, Fuzzy Algebra, Volume 1, University of Delhi Publication Division, July -1993.
- [13] Solairaju.A and Nagarajan.R, A new structure and construction of Q-fuzzy groups, Advances in fuzzy mathematics, Volume 4, Number 1 (2009) pp. 23-29.
- [14] Solairaju.A and Nagarajan.R, Lattice Valued Q-fuzzy left R-submodules of near rings with respect to T-norms, Advances in fuzzy mathematics, Vol 4, Num. 2, 137-145(2009).
- [15] Zadeh.L.A., Fuzzy sets , Information and control ,Vol.8, 338-353 (1965).